

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/084,043	0/084,043 02/27/2002		Joseph A. Kwak	I-2-0203.2US	8075		
24374	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER			
VOLPE A	ND KOEN	NIG, P.C.	TSEGAYE, SABA				
DEPT. ICC			10711117	D. 1000 N. W. 1000			
UNITED PL	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
30 SOUTH	17TH STR	EET	2616				
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	. 19103					
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					&			
		Application No	,	Applicant(s)				
		10/084,043		KWAK, JOSEPH A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Saba Tsegaye		2616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEL	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communi 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-fin	al.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 10 is/are pending in the appli	ication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	ration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ob	jected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if th	ie drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	<b>i2.</b>			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau	is have been records have been records	eived. eived in Application ave been receive	on No	e			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified c	opies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	it(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔	Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <del>3/16/06</del> & 4/18/06	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 02/17/06. Claims 1-6 and 10 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm et al. (US 6,208,663) in view of Malkamaki et al. (US 6,735,180), Fong et al. (US 6,760,860) and Yonge III et al. (US 6,522,650).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Schramm discloses, in Figs. 3 and 5, a method for adjusting data modulation at base station comprising:

receiving data at a transmitter for transmission (a radio base stations 22);

formatting the received data into packets for transmission to the receiver, each packet having a particular encoding/data modulation (a radio base stations 22; column 5, lines 46-58); transmitting the packets (column 5, lines 25-45);

monitoring a return channel for receipt of acknowledgment for reach packet that the packet has been received (column 7, lines 39-53; column 8, lines 37-42);

retransmitting that received packet at the transmitter, if an acknowledgment for that packet is not received (column 7, lines 39-53).

Further, Schramm discloses that the ARQ protocol is the RLC layer. An LLC frame to be transmitted by RBS is segmented into RLC blocks then transmitting the blocks to the mobile station through the physical layer (data is received from a higher layer ARQ mechanism).

Schramm does not disclose that data is formatted by a physical layer transmitter and generating an acknowledgment at the physical layer and physical layer ARQ mechanism operates transparently with respect to the higher layer ARQ mechanism.

However, higher layer ARQ mechanism is well known in the art.

Malkamaki teaches a fast feedback scheme for a fast physical layer hybrid ARQ for data transmitted in the downlink direction. Further, Malkamaki teaches that one way to speed up the whole process is to generate the feedback data in **physical layer** of the receiver. Similarly of the transmissions should be generated at the **physical layer** of the transmitter. Alternatively, the feedback and the retransmission can also be generated in a layer, which is co-located with the physical layer (column 1, lines 54-60).

Fong teaches a dual ARQ type arrangement (see Fig, 2 and abstract), which is layer 1 and layer 2 both support ARQ operation (column 2, lines 45-53 (as in claim 1)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schramm's ARQ method to incorporate the teachings from Malkamaki of a physical layer ARQ mechanism and a dual type arrangement from Fong, the motivation being that the ARQ system will be more reliable by eliminating any long delay between the higher layer and the physical layer.

Schramm, further, teaches that a copy of the FEC coded blocks is stored by the transmitting entity prior to modulation. If retransmission is requested for a particular block, that block can be retrieved from storage and fed into a different modulator (column 6, lines 4-11). Malkamaki, also, teaches that the sender must store any packet for possible retransmission *until* such time that the sender receives acknowledgement from the receiver that the packet has been

Art Unit: 2616

received properly (column 3, line 63-column 4, line 7). However, Schramm in view of Malkamaki, and Fong does not expressly disclose limiting the number of retransmissions to an operator-defined integer value, and clearing the buffer memory after the integer value is reached.

Yonge illustrates, in Figs. 23 and 24, flow diagrams of a response resolve process performed by the frame transmit process of TX handler. Further, Yonge teaches that process 444 determines if the NACK-count is greater than the NACK-count threshold (in this example, a threshold of 4). If the NACK-count is determined to be greater than the threshold of 4, then the frame is discarded (column 26, line 60-column 27, line 41, esp. column 27, lines 13-22).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a retransmission counter that limits the number of retransmissions to an operator defined integer value and clears the buffer memory after the integer value is reached, such as that suggested by Yonge, in the retransmission system of Schramm in view of Malkamaki, and Fong in order to reduce implementation complexity and to reduce the number of retransmission times thereby the transmission quality in real-time transmission is improved.

Regarding claim 2, Schramm discloses the method wherein the particular encoding/data modulation is forward error correction FEC encoding /data modulation (column 7, line 54-column 8, line 11).

Regarding claim 5, Schramm discloses the method wherein the acknowledgments are

Page 5

transmitted on the fast feedback channel using a CDMA air interface (column 4, lines 49-56).

Regarding claim 6, Schramm discloses the method further comprising transmitting a negative acknowledgment, if that packet has an unacceptable error rate (column 7, lines 39-45).

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yong as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Agee (US 6,128,276).

Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yong discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for: the packets are transmitted using an OFDMA air interface in which frequency sub channels in an OFDMA set may be selectively nulled.

Agee teaches a radio communication method that is compatible with discrete multiple tone and orthogonal frequency-division multiplex-like frequency channelization techniques (column 4, line19-column 5, line 40).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add a method that transmit packets using an OFDMA air interface, such as that suggested by Agee, in the method of Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yonge in order to allow stationary and linear channel distortion to be modeled as an exactly multiplicative effect on the transmit spreading code.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yonge as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Birru (US 2002/0037058).

Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yonge discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Schramm discloses that the invention is applied to all types of access methodologies including FDMA, TDMA, CDMA and hybrids thereof. However, Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yonge does not expressly discloses wherein the packet are transmitted using a single carrier having a frequency domain equalization (SC-FDE) air interface.

Birru teaches that a multi-standard demodulator, which includes COFDM, a frequency domain equalizer for single carrier results in a cost-effective solution compared to a time domain equalizer.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use SC-FDE, such as that suggested by Birru, in the multi-access methodologies of Schramm in view of Malkamaki, Fong and Yonge in order to provide cost effectiveness and multi-path performance (0059).

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,043 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST May 2, 2006

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600